

| | |
|---|--|
| Family Name | Birch |
| Given Name | Gareth |
| Person ID | 1287054 |
| Title | Stakeholder Submission |
| Type | Web |
| Family Name | Birch |
| Given Name | Gareth |
| Person ID | 1287054 |
| Title | JPA 31: Godley Green Garden Village |
| Type | Web |
| Soundness - Positively prepared? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Justified? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Consistent with national policy? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Effective? | Unsound |
| Compliance - Legally compliant? | No |
| Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate? | No |
| Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. | <p>This development has not replaced the green belt/space it has taken out of the area. The area that TMBC are proposing for the replaced greenbelt is a slither between housing estates East of Hattersley which is nowhere near sufficient.</p> <p>The amount of wildlife such as badgers, deer and other species lost to this development is unjustifiable. If they are relocating which they should be what areas are being considered? Hopefully they won't be culled like what happened at RHS Bridgewater with the deer.</p> <p>This development is not justified. The traffic increase from these houses will cause queuing on the wider network. There has been no improvement to the existing infrastructure for the number of vehicles and all this site is only serviced by Mottram Old Road meaning that vehicles will be rat running down Back Bower and Gee Cross village. Vehicles in the PM that will be using the Hyde M67 slip, as quicker than queuing at Hattersley Roundabout, these vehicles will also be using this Back Bower route to get to the site.</p> <p>With Derbyshire Labour recently opposing Mottram Bypass have the traffic calculations been updated to consider this.</p> <p>This development of 2300+ dwellings along with the combination of the Hyde South development down the road of 440+ dwellings with no new infrastructure, no improvements to public transport, and the lack of schools and doctors proposed in the area will cause more stress and anxiety on the wellbeing of existing residents.</p> <p>Hattersley rail line which the site boasts about using already uses the max number of carriages available due to the platform lengths as mentioned by Network Rail. I get on this line down at Newton for Hyde in the AM and it's already hard to get a seat.</p> |

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Has the council considered all the brownfield sites available before taking this irreversible decision of taking this greenbelt which over 4,000 residents have objected to?

Regarding this development ,Tameside council have given the residents no information of its existence. From the consultation it was clear no one received the leaflets that they said they had sent out to residents within the area.

These dwellings will create a reasonable number of trips. Will the vehicles from both sites in the area cause emission levels to rise ?